arXiv:1410.5191v3 [cs.CC] 25 Jan 2015

Structural Parameterizations of the Mixed Chinese Postman Problem

Gregory Gutin, Mark Jones and Magnus Wahlström

Royal Holloway, University of London
Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK

Abstract. In the Mixed Chinese Postman Problem (MCPP), given a weighted mixed graph G (G may have both edges and arcs), our aim is to find a minimum weight closed walk traversing each edge and arc at least once. The MCPP parameterized by the number of edges in G or the number of arcs in G is fixed-parameter tractable as proved by van Bevern *et al.* (in press) and Gutin, Jones and Sheng (ESA 2014), respectively. In this paper, we consider the unweighted version of MCPP. Solving an open question of van Bevern *et al.* (in press), we show that somewhat unexpectedly MCPP parameterized by the (undirected) treewidth of G is W[1]-hard. In fact, we prove that even the MCPP parameterized by the pathwidth of G is W[1]-hard. On the positive side, we show that the unweighted version of MCPP parameterized by tree-depth is fixed-parameter tractable. We are unaware of any natural graph parameters between pathwidth and tree-depth and so our results provide a dichotomy of the complexity of MCPP. Furthermore, we believe that MCPP is the first problem known to be W[1]-hard with respect to treewidth but FPT with respect to tree-depth.

1 Introduction

A *mixed graph* is a graph that may contain both edges and arcs (i.e., directed edges). A mixed graph G is *strongly connected* if for each ordered pair x, y of vertices in G there is a path from x to y that traverses each arc in its direction. In this paper, we will deal with simple mixed graphs[1] and (possibly non-simple) directed multigraphs (with multiple arcs between each pair of vertices). However, whenever we refer to the treewidth (pathwidth, tree-depth) of a graph, we mean the treewidth (pathwidth, tree-depth) of the underlying undirected graph.

In this paper, we study the following well-known problem.

Mixed Chinese Postman Problem (MCPP)
Instance: A strongly connected mixed graph $G = (V, E \cup A)$, with vertex set V, set E of edges and set A of arcs; a weight function $w : E \cup A \to \mathbb{N}_0$.
Output: A closed walk of G that traverses each edge and arc at least once, of minimum weight.

[1] A simple mixed graph has at most one arc or edge between any pair of vertices.

There is numerous literature on various algorithms and heuristics for MCPP; for informative surveys, see [3, 8, 16, 17]. When $A = \emptyset$, we call the problem the Undirected Chinese Postman Problem (UCPP), and when $E = \emptyset$, we call the problem the Directed Chinese Postman Problem (DCPP). It is well-known that UCPP is polynomial-time solvable [7] and so is DCPP [1, 4, 7], but MCPP is NP-complete, even when G is planar with each vertex having total degree 3 and all edges and arcs having weight 1 [15]. It is therefore reasonable to believe that MCPP may become easier the closer it gets to UCPP or DCPP and indeed when parameterized by the number of edges in G or the number of arcs in G, MCPP is proved to be fixed-parameter tractable (FPT, defined below) by van Bevern *et al.* [17] and Gutin, Jones and Sheng [14], respectively.

In this paper, we consider structural parameterizations of MCPP. van Bevern *et al.* [17] noted that Fernandes, Lee and Wakabayashi [11] proved that MCPP parameterized by the treewidth of G is in XP (when all edges and arcs have weight 1), and asked whether this parameterization of MCPP is FPT. It is well-known that many graph problems are FPT when parameterized by the treewidth of the input graph (only a few such problems are W[1]-hard; see, e.g., [5, 9, 13]). In this paper, we show that somewhat unexpectedly the MCPP parameterized by treewidth belongs to a small minority of problems, i.e., it is W[1]-hard. In fact, we prove a stronger result by (i) replacing treewidth with pathwidth, and (ii) assuming that all edges and arcs have weight 1.

To complement this, we show a positive result for the parameter tree-depth. We prove that MCPP parameterized by tree-depth is FPT. MCPP is unusual in this regard, as this is the first problem we are aware of which is FPT parameterized by tree-depth but W[1]-hard parameterized by treewidth. Following [11], we assume that all weights equal 1, however, we do not foresee any significant difficulty in generalizing our result to the weighted case.

Our paper is organised as follows. In the rest of this section, we provide some basics definitions on parameterized complexity as well as the definitions of treewidth, pathwidth and tree-depth. In Section 2, we introduce an intermediate problem Properly Balanced Subgraph (PBS), and give a W[1]-hardness proof for a restricted variant of it. In Section 3, we reduce this variant of PBS into MCPP parameterized by pathwidth, showing that the latter is also W[1]-hard. In Section 4 we show that PBS is FPT with respect to tree-depth, as outlined above, and in Section 5 we reduce MCPP parameterized by tree-depth to PBS parameterized by tree-depth, showing that this parameterization of MCPP is FPT. We conclude the paper with Section 6, where, in particular, we mention an open question from [17] on another parameterization of MCPP.

For reasons of space, many proofs and figures are deferred to the Appendix.

Parameterized Complexity. A *parameterized problem* is a subset $L \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ over a finite alphabet Σ. L is *fixed-parameter tractable (FPT)* if the membership of an instance (I, k) in L can be decided in time $f(k)|I|^{O(1)}$ (called *FPT time* and the corresponding algorithm an *FPT algorithm*), and in XP if it can be decided in time $|I|^{f(k)}$, where f is a computable function of the *parameter* k only. Many parameterized problems are believed not to be FPT; one of them is κ-Clique,

Clique parameterized by the number κ of vertices of the required clique. A problem with parameter k is called $W[1]$-*hard* if κ-Clique can be reduced to it in FPT time with respect to κ such that k is bounded by a computable function of κ. For more information on parameterized algorithms and complexity, see [6].

Treewidth, Pathwidth and Tree-depth. For an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, a *tree decomposition* of G is a pair $(\mathcal{T}, \beta)$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a tree and $\beta : V(\mathcal{T}) \to 2^V$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in V(\mathcal{T})} \beta(x) = V$, for each edge $uv \in E$ there exists $x \in V(\mathcal{T})$ with $u, v \in \beta(x)$, and for each $v \in V$ the set of nodes $\beta^{-1}(v)$ forms a connected subtree in $\mathcal{T}$. The *width* of $(\mathcal{T}, \beta)$ is $\max_{x \in V(\mathcal{T})}(|\beta(x)| - 1)$. The *treewidth* of G is the minimum width of all tree decompositions of G. The *pathwidth* of a graph is the minimum width of all tree decompositions $(\mathcal{T}, \beta)$ for which $\mathcal{T}$ is a path. For a directed multigraph H, we will use $\mathrm{pw}(H)$ to denote the pathwidth of the underlying undirected graph of H.

The *tree-depth* of a connected graph G is defined as follows. Let T be a rooted tree with vertex set $V(G)$, such that if xy is an edge in G then x is either an ancestor or a descendant of y in T. Then we say that G *is embedded in* T. The *depth* of T is the number of vertices in a longest path in T from the root to a leaf. The *tree-depth* of G is the minimum t such that G is embedded in a tree of depth t. Thus, for example, a star $K_{1,r}$ has tree-depth 2. A path of length n has tree-depth $O(\log n)$. A graph of tree-depth k has pathwidth at most k.

2 Properly Balanced Subgraph Problem

In this section, we introduce the problem Properly Balanced Subgraph (PBS), and show that it is W[1]-hard parameterized by pathwidth. In Section 4, we will show that a special case of the problem with restricted weights is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to tree-depth.

A directed multigraph is called *balanced* if the in-degree of each vertex coincides with its out-degree. A *double arc* is a specified pair of arcs (a, a') such that a and a' have the same heads and tails. We will say that a subgraph D' of D *respects double arcs* if $|A(D') \cap \{a, a'\}| \neq 1$ for every double arc (a, a'). That is, if D' uses one arc of a double arc then it must use both of them. A *forbidden pair* is a specified pair of arcs (b, b') such that b is the reverse of b'. We say that D' *respects forbidden pairs* if $|A(D') \cap \{b, b'\}| \neq 2$ for every forbidden pair (b, b'). That is, if D' uses one arc of a forbidden pair then it cannot use the other. We will say that a subgraph D' of D is *properly balanced* if D' is balanced and respects double arcs and forbidden pairs. PBS is then defined as follows.

Properly Balanced Subgraph (PBS)
Instance: A directed multigraph $D = (V, A)$; a weight function $w : A \to \mathbb{Z}$; a set $X = \{(a_1, a'_1), \ldots, (a_r, a'_r)\}$ of *double arcs* with $a_i, a'_i \in A$ for each $i \in [r]$; a set $Y = \{(b_1, b'_1), \ldots, (b_s, b'_s)\}$ of *forbidden pairs* with $b_i, b'_i \in A$ for each $i \in [s]$. Double arcs are disjoint from forbidden pairs.
Output: A properly balanced subgraph D' of D of negative weight, if one exists.

2.1 Gadgets for PBS

We now describe some simple gadget graphs (for now we do not assign weights; we will do this later). Each gadget will have some number of *input* and *output* arcs. Later, we will combine these gadgets by joining the input and output arcs of different gadgets together using double arcs. Henceforth, for each positive integer n, $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

A *Duplication gadget* has one input arc and t output arcs, for some positive integer t. The vertex set consists of vertices x, y, and u_i, v_i for each $i \in [t]$. The arcs form a cycle $xyu_1v_1 \ldots u_tv_tx$. The input arc is the arc xy, and the output arcs are the arcs u_iv_i for each $i \in [t]$.

A *Choice gadget* has one input arc xy and t output arcs $u_iv_i : i \in [t]$, for some positive integer t. The vertex set consists of the vertices x, y, z, w and u_i, v_i for each $i \in [t]$. The arcs consist of a path $wxyz$, and the path zu_iv_iw for each $i \in [t]$.

Finally, a *Checksum gadget* has t_l *left input* arcs $x_iy_i : i \in [t_l]$ for some positive integer t_l, and t_r *right input* arcs $u_iv_i : i \in [t_r]$, and no output arcs. The vertex set consists of the vertices w, z together with x_i, y_i for each $i \in [t_l]$ and u_i, v_i for each $i \in [t_r]$. The arc set consists of the path wx_iy_iz for each $i \in [t_l]$, and zu_iv_iw for each $i \in [t_r]$.

Proposition 1 below is easy to prove and thus its proof is omitted.

Proposition 1. *Let D be one of the gadgets described above, and let D' be a properly balanced subgraph of D. Then if D' does not contain any input arcs of D, it does not contain any other arcs of D. Otherwise: if D is a Duplication gadget, then D' contains every output arc of D; if D is a Choice gadget, then D' contains exactly one output arc of D; and if D is a Checksum gadget, then D' contains the same number of left input arcs as right input arcs of D. Furthermore, for any choice of input and output arcs satisfying these conditions, there is subgraph D' containing exactly those input and output arcs.*

Observe that in all of our gadgets, the vertices in input or output arcs all have in-degree and out-degree 1. We next describe how to combine these gadgets. For two unjoined arcs uv and xy (possibly in disjoint graphs), the operation of *joining* uv and xy is as follows: Identify u and x, and identify v and y. Keep both uv and xy, and add (uv, xy) as a double arc.

Lemma 1. *Let D_1 and D_2 be disjoint directed multigraphs. Let $u_1v_1, \ldots u_tv_t$ be arcs in D_1, and let $x_1y_1, \ldots x_ty_t$ be arcs in D_2, such that u_i and v_i both have in-degree and out-degree 1 in D_1, and x_i and y_i both have in-degree and out-degree 1 in D_2, for each $i \in [t]$. Let D be the graph formed by joining u_iv_i and x_iy_i, for each $i \in [t]$. Then a subgraph D' of D is a properly balanced graph if and only if (1) $|A(D') \cap \{u_iv_i, x_iy_i\}| \neq 1$ for each $i \in [t]$; and (2) D' restricted to D_1 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_1, and D' restricted to D_2 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_2.*

2.2 W[1]-hardness of PBS

By joining an output arc of one gadget to the input arc of another gadget, we have that a solution will only pass through the second gadget if it uses the corresponding arc of the first gadget. Thus for example, if a Duplication gadget has k output arcs, each of which is joined to the input arc of a Choice gadget, then any solution that uses the input arc of the Duplication gadget has to use exactly one output arc from each of the Choice gadgets. By combining gadgets in this way, we can create "circuits" that represent instances of other problems.

We will use this idea to represent the following W[1]-hard problem. In k-Multicolored Clique, we are given a graph $G = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cdots \cup V_k, E)$, such that for each $i \in [k]$, V_i forms an independent set, and asked to decide whether G contains a clique with k vertices, where k is the parameter.

Theorem 1. *[10] k-Multicolored Clique is W[1]-hard.*

Theorem 2. PBS *is W[1]-hard parameterized by pathwidth, even when there are no forbidden arcs, there is a single arc a^* of weight -1 and a^* is not part of a double arc, and all other arcs have weight* 0.

We give a sketch of the proof (for a full proof, see the Appendix):

Let $G = (V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k, E)$ be an instance of k-Multicolored Clique. We construct an equivalent instance of PBS as follows.

Initially we have a duplication gadget with k output arcs, whose input arc is the only arc of weight -1. All other arcs will have weight 0. Thus, any solution to the PBS instance will have to use this duplication gadget and all its output arcs. Then for each $i \in [k]$, we choose a vertex $v_i \in V_i$ (represented by a Choice gadget with $|V_i|$ output arcs, whose input arc is joined to the initial Duplication gadget). For each choice of v_i, and for each $j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ (enforced by a Duplication gadget with $k-1$ output arcs), we then choose an edge $e_{i \to j}$ that is adjacent to v_i and a vertex in V_j (represented by a choice gadget with $|N(v_i) \cap V_j|$ output arcs).

The graph so far looks like a "tree" of gadgets, and as such has bounded treewidth. Is easy to show that it also has bounded pathwidth. It enforces that we choose a set of vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$, and then an edge $e_{i \to j}$ for each ordered pair $(i, j), i \neq j$. (Each possible choice for $e_{i \to j}$ is represented by one output arc on the last layer of Choice gadgets). Now observe that $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ forms a clique if and only if there are choices of $e_{i \to j}$ such that $e_{i \to j} = e_{j \to i}$ for each (i, j).

We can check for this condition as follows. Firstly, we associate each edge e with a unique number n_e. Then, for each output arc corresponding to the edge e, we join that arc to a Duplication gadget with n_e output arcs. (This increases the pathwidth of the graph by a constant). Then for each unordered pair $\{i, j\}, i < j$, we create a Checksum gadget CheckEdge(i, j). The left input arcs of this gadget are joined to all the output arcs of all Duplication gadgets corresponding to a choice for $e_{i \to j}$, and the right input arcs are joined to all the output arcs of all Duplication gadgets corresponding to a choice for $e_{j \to i}$. This completes the construction of the graph.

It follows that for any solution to the PBS instance, the number of left input arcs of CheckEdge(i, j) in the solution is equal to the number associated with the edge chosen for $e_{i\to j}$. Similarly the number of right input arcs in the solution is equal to the number associated with the edge chosen for $e_{j\to}$. As these numbers have to be equal, it follows that there is a solution if and only if the choice for $e_{i\to j}$ is the same as the choice for $e_{j\to i}$ for each $i \neq j$. Thus, our PBS instance has a solution of negative weight if and only if G has a clique. It remains to check the pathwdith of the graph.

Before the addition of the Checksum gadgets, the graph has pathwdith bounded by a constant. As the input arcs of these gadgets are joined to other arcs, adding the Checsum gadgets only requires adding 2 vertices for each (i, j). Thus, the pathwdith of the constructed graph is $O(k^2)$.

3 Reducing PBS to MCPP

We now show how to reduce an instance of PBS, of the structure given in Theorem 2, to MCPP. Let $(D = (V, A), w, X = \{(a_i, a'_i) : i \in [t]\}, Y = \emptyset)$ be an instance of PBS with double arcs X and no forbidden pairs, and where $w(a^*) = -1$ for a single arc a^* and $w(a) = 0$ for every other arc. We may assume that a^* is not in a double arc. We will produce an instance G of MCPP and an integer W, such that G has a solution of weight W, and G has a solution of weight less than W if and only if our instance of PBS has a solution with negative weight. All edges and arcs in our MCPP instance will have weight 1.

We derive G by replacing every subgraph of D between a pair of vertices (either a double arc, a single arc of weight 0, or a single arc of weight -1) by an appropriate gadget. The gadgets will be such that within each gadget, there are only two MCPP solutions of reasonable weight: a solution that is balanced within the gadget (corresponding to not using the original arc/double arc in a solution to D); and a solution that is imbalanced at the vertices by the same amount that the original arc / double arc is (which corresponds to using the original arc / double arc in a solution to D). Thus, every properly balanced subgraph of D corresponds to a balanced subgraph of G, and vice versa.

For each gadget, except the gadget corresponding to the negative weight arc, the weights of the two solutions will be the same. In the case of the negative weight arc, the solution that correponds to using te arc will be cheaper by 1. Thus, there are two possible weights for a solution to G, and the cheaper weight is only possible if D has a properly balanced subgraph of negative weight.

In what follows, we will construct arcs and edges of two weights, *standard* and *heavy*. Standard arcs and edges have weight 1; heavy arcs and edges have weight M, where M is a large enough number that we may assume that no solution traverses a heavy arc or edge more than once. This will be useful to impose structure on the possible solutions when constructing gadgets. A heavy arc (edge) is equivalent to a directed (undirected) path of length M, and so we also show W[1]-hardness for the unweighted case.

The gadgets are constructed as follows. It is straightforward to verify that each gadget has only two solutions that traverse each arc or edge exactly once, and that the imbalances and weights of these solutions are as described above. (For a full proof, see the Appendix.)

For an arc uv of weight 0 that is not part of a double arc: Construct $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ by creating a new vertex z_{uv}, with standard arcs $z_{uv}u$ and $z_{uv}v$, two heavy arcs uz_{uv}, and a heavy arc vz_{uv}.

For an arc uv of weight -1 that is not part of a double arc: Construct $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ by adding two new vertices w_{uv} and z_{uv}, with standard arcs $z_{uv}u, z_{uv}w_{uv}$ and vw_{uv}, two heavy arcs uz_{uv}, one heavy arc $w_{uv}z_{uv}$, and two heavy arcs $w_{uv}v$.

For a double arc from u to v: $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ consists of a heavy arc uv and a heavy edge $\{u, v\}$. Assuming a solution traverses each heavy arc/edge exactly once, the only thing to decide is in which direction to traverse the undirected edge.

We note that each of our gadgets has pathwidth bounded by a constant. It can be shown that replacing the arcs of D with gadgets in this way will only increase the pathwdith by a constant.

We now have that, given an instance (D, w, X, Y) of PBS of the type specified in Theorem 2, we can in polynomial time create an equivalent instance G of MCPP with pathwidth bounded by $O(\text{pw}(D))$. We therefore have a parameterized reduction from this restriction of PBS, parameterized by pathwidth, to MCPP parameterized by pathwidth. As this restriction of PBS is W[1]-hard by Theorem 2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. MCPP *is W[1]-hard parameterized by pathwidth.*

4 PBS Parameterized by Tree-depth

In this section we show that a certain restriction of PBS is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to tree-depth. The restriction we require is that all arcs in double arcs have weight 0, all arcs in forbidden pairs have weight -1, and all other arcs have weight 1 or -1. We choose this restriction, as this is the version of PBS that we get when we reduce from MCPP.

Aside from the familiar tool of Courcelle's theorem (cf. [12]), our main technical tool is Lemma 3, which shows that we may assume there exists a solution with size bounded by a function of tree-depth. The following simple observation will be useful in the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{H_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a family of pairwise arc-disjoint subgraphs of G, such that each H_i respects double arcs. Then $H = \bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} H_i$ is a properly balanced subgraph of G if and only if H is balanced and H respects forbidden pairs.*

We are now ready to prove that any properly balanced subgraph decomposes into properly balanced subgraphs of size bounded by a function of tree-depth. This will allow us to assume, in Theorem 4, that a solution has bounded size.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a directed multigraph (with double arcs and forbidden pairs) of tree-depth k, and let H be a properly balanced subgraph of G. Then H is a union of pairwise arc-disjoint graphs H_i, each of which is a properly balanced subgraph of G, with $|A(H_i)| \leq f(k)$ where $f(k) = 2^{2^k}$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on the tree-depth k. For the base case, observe that if $k = 1$ then G has no arcs, and the claim is trivially true. So now assume that $k \geq 2$, and that the claim holds for all graphs of tree-depth less than k. We also assume that H is 2-connected, as otherwise a block decomposition of H is a decomposition into properly balanced subgraphs, and we may apply our result to each block of H. Similarly, if G is not 2-connected but H is, then H lies inside one block of G, and we may restrict our attention to this block. Hence assume that G is 2-connected as well.

Let G be embedded in a tree T of depth k, and let x be the root of T. Observe that x has only one child in T, as otherwise x is a cut-vertex in G. Let y be this child, and let G' be the multigraph derived from G by identifying x and y. Similarly, let H' be the subgraph of G' derived from H by identifying x and y. Observe that H' is balanced as H is balanced and so the number of arcs into $\{x, y\}$ equals the number of arcs out of it. Let B be the set of arcs in H between x and y, and observe that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the arcs of H' and the arcs of H not in B. By identifying x and y in T, we get that G' has tree-depth at most $k - 1$.

By the induction hypothesis, H' can be partitioned into a family $\{H'_i : i \in \mathcal{I}'\}$ of pairwise arc-disjoint properly balanced subgraphs of G', each having at most $f(k-1)$ arcs. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}'$, let F_i be the subgraph of G corresponding to H'_i. Observe that B can also be partitioned into a family $\{F_i : i \in \mathcal{I}''\}$ of subgraphs with at most 2 arcs, that respect double arcs (we add any double arc from B as a subgraph F_i, and add every other arc as a single-arc subgraph).

Letting $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}' \cup \mathcal{I}''$, we have that $\{F_i : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is a partition of H, each F_i has at most $f(k-1)$ arcs, and each F_i respects double arcs and is balanced everywhere except possibly at x and y. We now combine sets of these subgraphs into subgraphs that are balanced everywhere.

For each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, let t_i be the imbalance of F_i at x, i.e. $t_i = d^+_{F_i}(x) - d^-_{F_i}(x)$. Observe that $|t_i| \leq \frac{f(k-1)}{2}$ for each i and, as H is balanced, $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} t_i = 0$. We now show that there exists a subset $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that $|\mathcal{J}'| \leq f(k-1) - 1$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} t_i = 0$. To see this, let $\mathcal{J}_1$ be a set containing a single t_i, of minimum absolute value, and iteratively construct sets $\mathcal{J}_r$ by adding i such that $t_i < 0$ to $\mathcal{J}_{r-1}$ if $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{J}_{r-1}} t_p > 0$, and adding i such that $t_i > 0$ otherwise. Now note that either $t_i = \pm f(k-1)/2$ for every $i \in \mathcal{J}$, in which case we have a subset $\mathcal{J}'$ with $|\mathcal{J}'| = 2$, or $|\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_r} t_i| < \frac{f(k-1)}{2}$ for each r, and therefore there are at most $f(k-1) - 1$ possible values that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_r} t_i$ can take. Then there exist r, r' such that $r' < r$, $r - r' \leq f(k-1) - 1$, and $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_r \setminus \mathcal{J}_{r'}} t_i = 0$. So let $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}_r \setminus \mathcal{J}_{r'}$.

Now let $H_1 = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{J}'} F_i$. Then by construction, H_1 is balanced at every vertex (as it is balanced for every vertex other than y, and a directed multigraph graph cannot be imbalanced at a single vertex), and H_1 respects double arcs. As H_1 is

a subgraph of H, H_1 also respects forbidden pairs. Therefore H_1 is a properly balanced subgraph, with number of arcs at most $(f(k-1)-1)f(k-1)$. Observe that $f(k) = 2^{2^k}$ is a solution to the recursion $(f(k-1)-1)f(k-1) < f(k)$ with $f(1) = 4$. Thus, H_1 has at most 2^{2^k} arcs, as required.

By applying a similar argument to $H \setminus H_1$, we get a properly balanced subgraph H_2 with at most $f(k)$ arcs. Repeating this process, we get a partition of H into properly balanced subgraphs each with at most $f(k)$ arcs. □

Using Lemma 3, we may now assume that if G has a properly balanced subgraph with negative weight, then it has a properly balanced subgraph of negative weight with at most $f(k)$ arcs (as any negative weight properly balanced subgraph can be partitioned into properly balanced subgraphs of at most $f(k)$ arcs, at least one of which must have negative weight).

4.1 Fixed-Parameter Tractability of PBS

As the tree-depth of G is at most k, it follows that it has pathwidth at most $k-1$ [2]. Using this fact, and the fact that we may assume that a solution has at most $f(k)$ arcs, we have the following:

Theorem 4. PBS *is FPT with respect to tree-depth, provided that all arcs in double arcs have weight* 0*, all arcs in forbidden pairs have weight* -1*, and all other arcs have weight* 1 *or* -1*.*

Proof. Let D be an instance of PBS and let k be the tree-depth of D. By Lemma 3, we may assume that a solution has at most $f(k)$ arcs. We will prove the theorem by guessing all possible solutions D' (up to isomorphism), and then checking whether D' is isomorphic to a subgraph of D using Courcelle's theorem.

First, we assign each arc a of D the label DOUBLE if a is in a double arc, the label FORBIDDEN if a is in a forbidden pair, and otherwise the label NEGATIVE if a has weight -1 and POSITIVE if a has weight 1. Next, enumerate all balanced directed multigraphs D' with at most $f(k)$ arcs, together with all possible labelings $\lambda : (A(D')) \to \{\text{NEGATIVE}, \text{POSITIVE}, \text{DOUBLE}, \text{FORBIDDEN}\}$. This can be done in time $O^*((5f(k)^2)^{f(k)})$.

For each constructed directed multigraph D', we first check whether D' would be a properly balanced subgraph of D, if it existed as a subgraph of D. This can be done by checking, for each pair of vertices, that D' has at most one arc labelled FORBIDDEN, and either 0 or 2 arcs (in the same direction) labelled DOUBLE. If D' is not a properly balanced subgraph, we disregard it. Otherwise, we then check whether D' has negative weight. (This can be done by checking that the number of NEGATIVE and FORBIDDEN arcs is greater than the number of POSITIVE arcs.)

If D' has negative weight, it remains to check whether D' is isomorphic to a subgraph of D (respecting the labels). As D has treewidth at most k and D' has at most $f(k)$ vertices, this can be done in fixed-parameter time by Courcelle's theorem (see Theorem 11.37 in [12]).

□

5 Positive Result: Reducing MCPP to PBS

In this section, we consider MCPP with all weights equal 1 parameterized by tree-depth. In contrast to pathwidth, we will show that MCPP parameterized by tree-depth is FPT. Hereinafter, $b_H(v)$ denotes the imbalance of H, i.e. $d^+_H(v) - d^-_H(v)$. In the problem COMP-MCPP, we are given an instance of MCPP together with a solution H, and asked to find a solution H' of weight less than $w(H)$, if one exists. To solve an instance of MCPP, it would be enough to find some (not necessarily optimal) solution of weight M, then repeatedly apply COMP-MCPP to find better solutions, until we find a solution which cannot be improved by COMP-MCPP and is therefore optimal. As COMP-MCPP returns an improved solution if one is available, we would have to apply COMP-MCPP at most M times.

To show that our approach leads to an FPT algorithm for MCPP, we first show that we may assume that M is bounded by an appropriate value.

Lemma 4. *Given an instance (G, w) of* MCPP *with m arcs and edges, we can, in polynomial time, find closed walk of of G that traverses each edge and arc at least once, if such a walk exists, and this walk traverses each arc at most $m + 1$ times.*

As with the hardness proof, we will use PBS as an intermediate problem. We now reduce COMP-MCPP to PBS, in the following sense: For any input graph G and initial solution H, we produce a directed multigraph D (with double arcs and forbidden pairs), such that D has a properly balanced subgraph of negative weight if and only if G has a solution of weight less than $w(H)$.

For any adjacent vertices u, v in G, let G_{uv} be the subgraph of G induced by $\{u, v\}$, and similarly let H_{uv} be the subgraph of H induced by $\{u, v\}$. Let $M = w(H)$. Thus, we may assume that any improved solution has weight less than M. By Lemma 4 and the assumption that the weight of every arc and edge is 1, we may assume $M \leq m^2 + m$.

For each edge and arc uv in G, we will produce a gadget D_{uv}, based on G_{uv} and H_{uv} and the value M. The gadget D_{uv} is a directed multigraph, possibly containing double arcs or forbidden pairs, and by combining all the gadgets, we will get an instance D of PBS.

We now construct D_{uv} according to the following cases (roughly speaking, a positive weight arc represents adding an arc in that direction, and a negative weight arc represents removing an arc in the opposite direction):

If G_{uv} is an arc from u to v and H_{uv} traverses uv $t \leq M$ times: Then D_{uv} has $t - 1$ arcs from v to u of weight -1, and $M - t$ arcs from u to v of weight 1.

If G_{uv} is an edge between u and v, and H_{uv} traverses uv from u to v $t \leq M$ times, and from v to u 0 times: Then D_{uv} has a double arc (a, a'), where a and a' are both arcs from v to u of weight 0. In addition, D_{uv} has $t - 1$ arcs from v to u of weight -1, $M - t$ arcs from u to v of weight 1, and $M - 1$ arcs from v to u of weight 1.

If G_{uv} is an edge between u and v and H_{uv} traverses uv from u to v $t > 0$ times, and from v to u $s > 0$ times: Then we may assume $s = t = 1$, as otherwise we may remove a pair of arcs (uv, vu) from H and get a better solution to MCPP. Then D_{uv} has $M - 1$ arcs from u to v of weight 1, $M - 1$ arcs from v to u of weight 1, and a forbidden pair (a, a'), where a is an arc from u to v, a' is an arc from v to u, and both a and a' have weight -1.

Lemma 5. *Let uv be an edge or arc in G, and let B and W be arbitrary integers such that $w(H_{uv}) + W \leq M$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a graph H'_{uv} with vertex set $\{u, v\}$ that covers G_{uv}, such that $w(H'_{uv}) = w(H_{uv}) + W$ and $b_{H'_{uv}}(u) = b_{H_{uv}}(u) + B$;*
2. *D_{uv} has a subgraph D'_{uv} which respects double arcs and forbidden pairs, such that $w(D'_{uv}) = W$ and $b_{D'_{uv}}(u) = B$.*

Note that in a graph H'' with two vertices u and v, $b_{H''}(u) = -b_{H''}(v)$. Thus, in addition to implying that $b_{D'_{uv}}(u) = b_{H'_{uv}}(u) - b_{H_{uv}}(u)$, the claim also implies that $b_{D'_{uv}}(v) = b_{H'_{uv}}(v) - b_{H_{uv}}(v)$.

Lemma 6. *Let D be the directed multigraph derived from G and H by taking the vertex set $V(G)$ and adding the gadget D_{uv} for every arc and edge uv in G. Then there exists a solution H' with weight less than H if and only if D has a properly balanced subgraph of weight less than 0.*

Lemma 6 implies that we have a parameterized reduction from COMP-MCPP parameterized by tree-depth to PBS parameterized by tree-depth. Then by Theorem 4, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. MCPP *with all weights equal 1 is FPT with respect to tree-depth.*

6 Discussion

In this paper, we proved that MCPP parameterized by pathwidth is W[1]-hard even if all edges and arcs of input graph G have weight 1. This solves the second open question of van Bevern *et al.* [17] on parameterizations of MCPP; the first being the parameterization by the number of arcs in G, which was proved to be FPT in [14].

We also showed that the unweighted version of MCPP is FPT with respect to tree-depth. This is the first problem we are aware of that has been shown to be W[1]-hard with respect to treewidth but FPT with respect to tree-depth. The pathwidth of a graph lies between its treewidth and tree-depth. The problem we studied is W[1]-hard with respect to both treewidth and pathwidth. It is an open question whether there is a natural parameterized problem which is W[1]-hard with respect to treewidth but FPT with respect to pathwidth.

We call a vertex v of G *even* if the total number of arcs and edges incident to v is even. Another parameterization of MCPP in [17] is motivated by the fact that if each vertex of G is even, then MCPP is polynomial-time solvable [7]. van

Bevern *et al.* [17] ask whether MCPP parameterized by the number of non-even vertices is FPT.

Acknowledgement. Research of GG was supported by Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award.

References

1. E. J. Beltrami and L. D. Bodin. Networks and vehicle routing for municipal waste collection. *Networks*, 4(1):65–94, 1974.
2. H. L. Bodlaender, J. R. Gilbert, H. Hafsteinsson, and T. Kloks. Approximating treewidth, pathwidth, frontsize, and shortest elimination tree. *Journal of Algorithms*, 18(2):238–255, 1995.
3. P. Brucker. The Chinese postman problem for mixed graphs. *Proc. WG 1980*, LNCS 100:354–366, 1981.
4. N. Christofides. The optimum traversal of a graph. *Omega*, 1(6):719 – 732, 1973.
5. M. Dom, D. Lokshtanov, S. Saurabh, and Y. Villanger. Capacitated domination and covering: A parameterized perspective. In *Proc. IWPEC 2008*, 78–91, 2008.
6. R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Springer, 2013.
7. J. Edmonds and E. L. Johnson. Matching, Euler tours and the Chinese postman. *Mathematical Programming*, 5(1):88–124, 1973.
8. H. A. Eiselt, M. Gendreau, and G. Laporte. Arc routing problems. I. The Chinese postman problem. *Operations Research*, 43:231–242, 1995.
9. M. Fellows, F. Fomin, D. Lokshtanov, F. Rosamond, S. Saurabh, S. Szeider, and C. Thomassen. On the complexity of some colorful problems parameterized by treewidth. *Inf. Comput.* (IANDC) 209(2):143–153, 2011.
10. M. R. Fellows, D. Hermelin, F. Rosamond, and S. Vialette. On the parameterized complexity of multiple-interval graph problems. *Theor. Comput. Sci*, 410:53–61, 2009.
11. C. G. Fernandes, O. Lee, and Y. Wakabayashi. Minimum cycle cover and Chinese postman problems on mixed graphs with bounded tree-width. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 157(2):272–279, 2009.
12. J. Flum and M. Grohe. *Parameterized Complexity Theory*. Springer -Verlag, 2006.
13. P. A. Golovach, J. Kratochvil, and O. Suchy. Parameterized complexity of generalized domination problems. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 160(6):780–792, 2012.
14. G. Gutin, M. Jones, and B. Sheng. Parameterized complexity of the k-arc Chinese postman problem. In *Proc. ESA 2014*, 530–541, 2014.
15. C. H. Papadimitriou. On the complexity of edge traversing. *J. ACM*, 23:544–554, 1976.
16. Y. Peng. Approximation algorithms for some postman problems over mixed graphs. *Chinese J. Oper. Res.*, 8:76–80, 1989.
17. R. van Bevern, R. Niedermeier, M. Sorge, and M. Weller. *Complexity of Arc Routing Problems*. In *Arc Routing: Problems, Methods and Applications*, SIAM, 2014.

A Omitted proofs and figures from Section 2

We begin by giving figures for the Choice and Checksum gadgets described in Section 2 (a Duplication gadget is simply an even cycle with each even numbered arc being the input arc or an output arc).



Fig. 1: A Choice gadget with t output arcs. A balanced subgraph that contains the input arc will contain exactly one output arc.

Recall Proposition 1:

Proposition 1. *Let D be one of the gadgets described above, and let D' be a properly balanced subgraph of D. Then if D' does not contain any input arcs of D, it does not contain any other arcs of D. Otherwise: if D is a Duplication gadget, then D' contains every output arc of D; if D is a Choice gadget, then D' contains exactly one output arc of D; and if D is a Checksum gadget, then D' contains the same number of left input arcs as right input arcs of D. Furthermore, for any choice of input and output arcs satisfying these conditions, there is subgraph D' containing exactly those input and output arcs.*

We now give a proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. *Let D_1 and D_2 be disjoint directed multigraphs. Let $u_1v_1, \dots u_tv_t$ be arcs in D_1, and let $x_1y_1, \dots x_ty_t$ be arcs in D_2, such that u_i and v_i both have in-degree and out-degree 1 in D_1, and x_i and y_i both have in-degree and out-degree 1 in D_2, for each $i \in [t]$. Let D be the graph formed by joining u_iv_i and x_iy_i, for each $i \in [t]$. Then a subgraph D' of D is a properly balanced graph if and only if: (1) $|A(D') \cap \{u_iv_i, x_iy_i\}| \neq 1$ for each $i \in [t]$; and (2) D' restricted to D_1 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_1, and D' restricted to D_2 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_2.*

Proof. Suppose first that D' is a properly balanced subgraph of D. The first condition holds by definition, since (u_iv_i, x_iy_i) is a double arc in D for each



Fig. 2: A Checksum gadget with t_l left input arcs and t_r right input arcs. A balanced subgraph will contain the same number of left and right input arcs.

$i \in [t]$. For the second condition, let D'_1 be D' restricted to D_1. It is clear that D'_1 respects double and forbidden arcs in D_1. Furthermore, every vertex except u_i or v_i, $i \in [t]$, has the same in- and out-degree in D'_1 as in D', hence D'_1 is balanced for these vertices. Finally, for a vertex u_i or v_i, $i \in [t]$, note that such a vertex has in- and out-degree 2 in D, and by the double arc (u_iv_i, x_iy_i) either both these arcs are used in D', or neither. In both cases, we find that the restriction D'_1 is balanced. Hence D'_1 is balanced at every vertex, and respects both double and forbidden arcs, i.e., D'_1 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_1. An analogous argument holds for D_2.

Conversely, suppose that $|A(D') \cap \{u_iv_i, x_iy_i\}| \neq 1$ for each $i \in [t]$, D' restricted to D_1 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_1, and D' restricted to D_2 is a properly balanced subgraph of D_2. Then by construction D' respects double arcs and forbidden arcs. As D_1, D_2 partition the arcs of D, and D' is balanced when restricted to either of these graphs, we have that D' is balanced. Thus, D' is a properly balanced subgraph of D, as required. □

The following technical lemma will also be useful in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 7. *Let $D', D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_l$ be disjoint directed multigraphs, let $a_1, \ldots, a_l$ be distinct arcs in D', and let D be a graph formed by joining the arc a_i to an arc in D_i, for each $i \in [l]$. Then $pw(D) \leq pw(D') + \max_i(pw(D_i)) + 1$.*

Proof. Consider a minimum width path decomposition of D'. For each $i \in [l]$, let x_i be the bag in the path decomposition of D' that contains both vertices of a_i (if there is a choice of bags, let x_i be the bag of smallest size). Now replace x_i with two identical bags x'_i, x''_i, and in between x'_i and x''_i add a sequence of bags formed by taking a minimum width path decomposition of D_i and adding all the vertices of x_i to each bag. Do this for each $i \in [l]$. The resulting decomposition

is a path decomposition of D. By construction and by choice of x_i, the width of this decomposition is at most $\text{pw}(D') + \max_i(\text{pw}(D_i)) + 1$. □

We are now ready to give a full proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. PBS *is W[1]-hard parameterized by pathwidth, even when there are no forbidden arcs, there is a single arc a^* of weight -1 and a^* is not part of a double arc, and all other arcs have weight* 0.

Proof. Given an instance $G = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cdots \cup V_k, E)$ of k-Multicolored Clique, let $e_1, \ldots e_m$ be an arbitrary enumeration of the edges of E. For each unordered pair $\{i, j\} \subseteq [k]$ with $i \neq j$, let $E_{\{i,j\}}$ be the subset of edges in E with one vertex in V_i and the other in V_j. Note that any k-clique in G will have exactly one edge from $E_{\{i,j\}}$ for each choice of i, j.

The structure of our PBS instance will force us to choose a vertex v_i from each class V_i, corresponding to the vertices of a k-clique. In addition, for each chosen vertex v_i and each $j \neq i$, we choose an edge $e_{i\to j}$ between v_i and V_j. A set of $O(k^2)$ Checksum gadgets will ensure that for each $i \neq j$, the chosen edges $e_{i\to j}$ and $e_{j\to i}$ must be the same. This ensures that v_i and v_j are adjacent for each $i \neq j$, and that therefore the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ form a clique.

We build our PBS instance (D, w, X) out of Duplication, Choice and Checksum gadgets, as follows. Let Start be a Duplication gadget with input arc a^*, and k output arcs. Label each output arc with a different integer i from $[k]$. For each $i \in [k]$, let ChooseVertex(i) be a Choice gadget with $|V_i|$ output arcs. Label each output arc with a different vertex v from V_i. Join the input arc of ChooseVertex(i) to the output arc of Start with label i.

For each $i \in [k], v \in V_i$, let AssignVertex(i, v) be a Duplication gadget with $k-1$ output arcs. Label the output arcs with the integers from $[k] \setminus \{i\}$. Join the input arc of AssignVertex(i, v) to the output arc of ChooseVertex(i) with label v.

For each $i \in [k], v \in V_i, j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ let ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ be a Choice gadget with $|N(v) \cap V_j|$ output arcs. Label each output arc with a different edge e_r between v and V_j. Join the input arc of ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ to the output arc of AssignVertex(i, v) with label j.

For each $i \in [k], v \in V_i, j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ and edge e_r between v_i and V_j, let AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ be a Duplication gadget with r output arcs. Label this whole set of output arcs as Output$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$. Join the input arc of AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ to the output arc of ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ with label e_r.

Finally, for each $i, j \in [k]$ with $i < j$, let CheckEdge(i, j) be a Checksum gadget with $\sum\{r : e_r \in E_{\{i,j\}}\}$ left input arcs and $\sum\{r : e_r \in E_{\{i,j\}}\}$ right input arcs. Partition the left and right input arcs of CheckEdge(i, j) as follows. For each $e_r \in E_{\{i,j\}}$, let Input$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ be a set of r left input arcs, where v is the endpoint of e_r in V_i. Similarly, let Input$(j, u, \to i, e_r)$ be a set of r right input arcs, where u is the endpoint of e_r in V_j. Now, join each set of arcs of the form Input$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ to the set of arcs of the form Output$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ from the gadget AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$.

Finally, we assign weights. Let a^* have weight -1 and let all other arcs have weight 0. This concludes the construction of D. Observe that every output arc is joined to an input arc, and every input arc except a^* is joined to an output arc.

Correctness.

We now show that D has a properly balanced subgraph of negative weight if and only if G has a clique with k vertices. Observe that by repeated use of Lemma 1, a subgraph D' of D is a properly balanced subgraph if and only if

- D' restricted to any gadget Start, ChooseVertex(i), AssignVertex(i, v), ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$, AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ or CheckEdge(i, j) is a properly balanced subgraph; and
- for each output arc a that is joined to an input arc a', a is in D' if and only if a' is in D'.

First suppose G has a clique on k vertices. By definition of G, this clique must have exactly one vertex from each class $V_i, i \in [k]$. For each $i \in [k]$, let v_i be the vertex of V_i that is in the clique. For each $i \neq j$, let $r(i, j)$ be the index such that $e_{r(i,j)}$ is the edge between v_i and v_j.

We will now describe a graph D' by describing its restriction to each gadget. The construction will be such that an output arc is in D' if and only if the input arc it is joined to is also in D'. Refer to a gadget as *passive* if no arcs in it are contained in D', and *active* otherwise; further, for a Choice gadget, say that it *selects* arc i of the i'th output arc is contained in D'. Note by previous Propositions that these options all correspond to restrictions of balanced subgraphs to D'.

The graph D' is constructed as follows. The Start gadget is active; for every $i \in [k]$, the ChooseVertex(i) gadget is active and selects the output arc labelled v_i, and the AssignVertex(i, v) gadget is active for $v = v_i$; and for every $i \in [k]$ and every $j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$, the gadget ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ with $v = v_i$ is active, selecting the output arc labelled $e_{r(i,j)}$, and the AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e)$ gadget is active for $v = v_i$ and $e = e_{r(i,j)}$. All other AssignVertex, ChooseEdge and AssignEdge gadgets are passive. Note that D' contains an arc set Output$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ if and only if $v = v_i$ and $r = r(i, j)$.

Finally, for each $i, j \in [k]$ with $i < j$, let D' restricted to CheckEdge(i, j) be a properly balanced subgraph containing the left input arcs from Input$(i, v_i, \to j, e_{r(i,j)})$, the right input arcs from Input$(j, v_j, \to i, e_{r(j,i)})$, and no other input arcs. As $r(i, j) = r(j, i)$, such a subgraph exists by Proposition 1.

This concludes the construction of D'. As D' restricted to each gadget is a properly balanced subgraph, and an output arc is in D' if and only if the input arc it is joined to is in D', we have that D' is a properly balanced subgraph of D. As D' contains the arc a^* of weight -1 and all other arcs have weight 0, D' is a properly balanced subgraph with negative weight, as required.

Now for the converse, suppose that D has a properly balanced subgraph D' of negative weight. Then D' must contain a^*, the input arc of Start with weight -1. By Proposition 1, D' must contain all of the output arcs of Start. Thus for

each $i \in [k]$, D' contains the input arc of ChooseVertex(i). By Proposition 1, D' contains exactly one output arc of ChooseVertex(i); let $v_i \in V_i$ be the unique vertex in G such that D' restricted to ChooseVertex(i) contains the output arc labelled v_i.

It now follows that for each $i \in [k], v \in V_i$, D' contains the input arc of AssignVertex(i, v) if and only if $v = v_i$. Then by Proposition 1, if $v = v_i$ then D' contains the all the output arcs of AssignVertex(i, v), and otherwise D' contains none of the output arcs of AssignVertex(i, v).

It follows that for each $i \in [k], v \in V_i, j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$, D' contains the input arc of ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ if and only if $v = v_i$. If $v \neq v_i$ then by Proposition 1 D' contains none of the output arcs of ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$. If $v = v_i$, then again by Proposition 1 D' contains exactly one output arc of ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$. So for each $i \in [k], j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$, let $r(i \to j)$ be the index such that D' contains the output arc of ChooseEdge$(i, v_i, \to j)$ labelled with $e_{r(i \to j)}$. (Later we will show that $r(i \to j) = r(j \to i)$, implying that v_i and v_j are adjacent.)

It now follows that for each $i \in [k], v \in V_i, j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}$ and edge e_r between v_i and V_j, D' contains the input arc of AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ if and only $v = v_i$ and $r = r(i \to j)$. Furthermore by Proposition 1, D' contains the set of output arcs Output$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ if $v = v_i$ and $r = r(i \to j)$, and otherwise D' contains none of the arcs from Output$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$.

We now have that for each $i, j \in [k]$ with $i < j$, the left input arcs of CheckEdge(i, j) in D' are exactly those in Input$(i, v_i, \to j, e_{r(i \to j)})$, and the right input arcs of CheckEdge(i, j) in D' are exactly those in Input$(j, v_j, \to i, e_{r(j \to i)})$. By Proposition 1, we have that $|$ Input$(i, v_i, \to j, e_{r(i \to j)})| = |$ Input$(j, v_j, \to i, e_{r(j \to i)})|$ and so $r(i \to j) = r(j \to i)$. It follows that $e_{r(i \to j)}$ and $e_{r(j \to i)}$ are the same edge, and that therefore this is an edge in G between v_i and v_j.

Thus we have that $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ form a clique in G, as required.

Structure of the constructed graph and wrap-up of proof.

Having showed that D represents the instance of k-Multicolored Clique, it remains to show that D satisfies the specified properties, that $\mathrm{pw}(D)$ is bounded by a function of k, and that it can be constructed in fixed-parameter time.

We now address the properties of D in turn. It is clear that there exists a single arc a^* of weight -1, that a^* is not part of a double arc, that all other arcs have weight 0, and that there are no forbidden pairs. To see that pathwidth is bounded, let D^* be the graph derived from D by deleting the vertices w and z from every CheckEdge gadget, along with incident arcs. (That is, D^* is the graph we had before adding CheckEdge gadgets in the construction of D.) We constructed D^* by joining arcs in Start to the input arcs of the ChooseVertex(i) gadgets, then joining arcs of the resulting graph to the input arcs of the AssignVertex(i, v) gadgets, then joining arcs of the resulting graph to the input arcs of the ChooseEdge$(i, v, \to j)$ gadgets, then joining arcs of the resulting graph to the input arcs of the AssignEdge$(i, v, \to j, e_r)$ gadgets. Observe that a Duplication gadget can be turned into a path by the removal of one vertex, and Choice and Checksum gadgets can be turned into disjoint

unions of paths by the removal of two vertices. Therefore Duplication gadgets have pathwidth 2, and Choice and Checksum gadgets have pathwidth 3. Hence by repeated use of Lemma 7, D^* has pathwidth at most $((((2+3+1)+2+1)+3+1)+2+1) = 16$.

There are $\binom{k}{2} = \frac{k^2-k}{2}$ CheckEdge gadgets, and therefore we can remove $k^2 - k$ vertices from D to get D^*. It follows that D has pathwidth at most $k^2 - k + 16$ (as we can add the $k^2 - k$ extra vertices to every bag in a path decomposition of D^*).

Finally, it is clear that the reduction can be performed in polynomial time, as we construct a polynomial number of gadgets and each gadget can be constructed in polynomial time. Thus we have provided a fixed-parameter time reduction from any instance of k-Multicolor Clique to an instance of PBS with the required properties and with pathwidth $O(k^2)$. This concludes the proof.

□

B Omitted proofs and figures from Section 3

We first describe the gadgets of Section 3, and their solutions, in more detail. Let $(D = (V, A), w, X = \{(a_i, a_i') : i \in [t]\}, Y = \emptyset)$ be an instance of PBS with double arcs X and no forbidden pairs, and where $w(a^*) = -1$ for a single arc a^* and $w(a) = 0$ for every other arc. For each pair of vertices u, v such that there is an arc from u to v in D, we produce a gadget Gadget(u, v) that contains u, v and new vertices appearing in Gadget(u, v) Recall that we may assume that between any pair of vertices, there is either an arc of weight -1, an arc of weight 0, or a double arc with both arcs having weight 0.

Given a directed multigraph H (corresponding to part of a solution to an MCPP instance) and a vertex v, the *imbalance* of v is $d_H^+(v) - d_H^-(v)$.



(a) Arc uv of weight 0 (b) Gadget(u, v) (c) Passive solution (d) Active solution

Fig. 3: The gadget Gadget(u, v) when uv is an arc of weight 0 that is not part of a double arc. Dashed lines represent heavy arcs.

For an arc uv of weight 0 that is not part of a double arc: Construct $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ by creating a new vertex z_{uv}, with standard arcs $z_{uv}u$ and $z_{uv}v$, two heavy arcs uz_{uv}, and a heavy arc vz_{uv}. (See Figure 3.)

For any solution in which each heavy arc is traversed exactly once, z_{uv} has in-degree exactly 3. The remaining arcs are the two out-arcs of z_{uv}, which must be traversed exactly three times between them. There are therefore two possible choices:

- **Passive Solution:** Traverse $z_{uv}u$ twice and $z_{uv}v$ once. In this solution, every vertex is balanced within $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ and the cost is $3M + 3$.
- **Active Solution:** Traverse $z_{uv}u$ once and $z_{uv}v$ twice. In this solution, every vertex is balanced except for u, which has imbalance 1, and v, which has imbalance -1. The cost of this solution is also $3M + 3$.

Observe that the difference between the weight of the passive and active solutions is 0, and the imbalance at u and v for the active solution is the same as in an arc from u to v.

The total weight of $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ is $3M + 2$.

For an arc uv of weight -1 that is not part of a double arc: Construct $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ by adding two new vertices w_{uv} and z_{uv}, with standard arcs $z_{uv}u, z_{uv}w_{uv}$ and vw_{uv}, two heavy arcs uz_{uv}, one heavy arc $w_{uv}z_{uv}$, and two heavy arcs $w_{uv}v$. (See Figure 4.)

For any solution in which each heavy arc is traversed exactly once, z_{uv} will have exactly 3 in-arcs, and w_{uv} will have exactly 3 out-arcs. It remains to decide how many times to use the arcs out of z_{uv} and into w_{uv}. There are two possible solutions:

- **Passive Solution:** Traverse $z_{uv}u$ twice, $z_{uv}w_{uv}$ once and vw_{uv} twice. In this solution, every vertex is balanced within $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ and the cost is $5M + 5$.
- **Active Solution:** Traverse z_{uv} once, $z_{uv}w_{uv}$ twice and vw_{uv} once. In this solution, every vertex is balanced except for u, which has imbalance 1, and v, which has imbalance -1. The cost of this solution is $5M + 4$.

Observe that the active solution costs 1 less than the passive solution, and the imbalance at u and v for the active solution is again the same as in an arc from u to v.

The weight of this gadget is $5M + 3$.

For a double arc from u to v: $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ consists of a heavy arc uv and a heavy edge $\{u, v\}$. (See Figure 5.) Assuming a solution traverses each heavy arc/edge exactly once, the only thing to decide is in which direction to traverse the undirected edge. Thus there are two possible solutions:

- **Passive Solution:** Traverse the edge from v to u. In this solution, every vertex is balanced within $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ and the cost is $2M$.



(a) Arc uv of weight -1 (b) $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$



(c) Passive solution (d) Active solution

Fig. 4: The gadget $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ when uv is an arc of weight -1 that is not part of a double arc. Dashed lines represent heavy arcs.

– **Active Solution:** Traverse the edge from u to v. In this solution, every vertex is balanced except for u, which has imbalance 2, and v, which has imbalance -2. The cost of this solution is also $2M$.

Observe that the difference between the weight of the passive and active solutions is 0, and the imbalance at u and v for the active solution is the same as in a double arc from u to v.

The weight of this gadget is $2M$.

Removing heavy arcs and edges. Finally, we replace every heavy arc (edge, respectively) we just created, of weight M, by a directed (undirected) path of length M, where all internal vertices have degree two. Note that in any minimal solution, each arc or edge in such a path will be traversed the same number of times (if one edge in the path is traversed more times than its neighbor, then it must be traversed at least twice more, including at least once in each direction, and so the solution is not minimal). Thus in the analysis, we may treat such a path as effectively being a single edge or arc of weight M.



(a) A double arc from u (b) GADGET(u, v) (c) Passive solution (d) Active solution to v

Fig. 5: The gadget GADGET(u, v) when there is a double arc from u to v. Dashed lines represent heavy arcs; the dotted line represents a heavy edge.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we will us the following technical lemma, whose proof is identical to that of Lemma 7 (see Section A).

Lemma 8. *Let H be a mixed multigraph, and G the mixed multigraph derived by replacing each arc or double arc from u to v with a gadget G_{uv}. Then $pw(G) \leq pw(H) + \max_{uv} pw(G_{uv})$.*

We now give the full proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 3. MCPP *is W[1]-hard parameterized by pathwidth.*

Proof. Let $(D = (V, A), w, X = \{(a_i, a_i') : i \in [t]\}, Y = \emptyset)$ be an instance of PBS with double arcs X and no forbidden pairs, and where $w(a^*) = -1$ for a single arc a^* and $w(a) = 0$ for every other arc. Let G be the graph in which every arc / double arc in D is replaced with its corresponding gadget.

Let m_1 be the number of arcs of weight 0 not in a double arc in D. By Theorem 2, we may assume there is only one arc of weight -1 not in a double arc in D. Let m_2 be the number of double arcs in D.

If we use the passive solution for every gadget, then every vertex is balanced, every arc and edge is covered, and the total cost is $m_1(3M + 3) + 5M + 5 + m_2(2M) = (3m_1 + 2m_2 + 5)M + 3m_1 + 5$. Therefore this is an upper bound on the weight of a optimal solution.

The total weight of the graph is $m_1(3M + 2) + 5M + 3 + m_2(2M) = (3m_1 + 2m_2 + 5)M + 2m_1 + 3$. Therefore, any minimal solution that does not traverse each heavy arc/edge exactly once will have weight at least $(3m_1 + 2m_2 + 6)M + 2m_1 + 3$. This is $M - m_1 - 2$ greater than the solution in which we use the passive solution for every gadget. So by setting M to be $m_1 + 3$, we may assume that the optimal solution traverses each heavy arc/edge exactly once. Therefore we may assume that we use either the active or passive solution for each gadget.

Let $W = (3m_1 + 2m_2 + 5)M + 3m_1 + 5$, the cost of using the passive solution for each gadget. We now show that G has a solution of weight less than W if and only if D has a solution of negative weight.

Suppose first that G has a solution of weight less than W. As discussed above, we may assume that every gadget is either given the active or passive solution. Let u^*, v^* be the vertices such that u^*v^* is the only arc in D of weight -1. Then

$\textsc{Gadget}(u^*, v^*)$ is the only gadget for which one solution weighs less than the other. Therefore we may assume $\textsc{Gadget}(u^*, v^*)$ is given the active solution.

Let D' be the subgraph of D whose arc set is the set of all arcs whose corresponding gadget in G has the active solution (with both arcs in a double arc included if their gadget has the active solution, and neither if it has the passive solution). Then D' contains u^*v^* and so D has negative weight. By construction, D' respects double arcs, and as there are no forbidden pairs D' trivially respects forbidden pairs. It remains to show that D' is balanced.

In our MCPP solution, the imbalance of a vertex v is equal to the sum of its imbalance in the active gadgets containing it. The imbalance of v in an active gadget is $+1$ if the gadget corresponds to a single out-arc of v, -1 if the gadget corresponds to a single in-arc of v, $+2$ if the gadget corresponds to a double arc starting at v, and -2 if the gadget corresponds to a double arc ending at v. It follows that the imbalance of v in our MCPP solution is equal to its imbalance in D', Then as our MCPP solution is balanced, D' is also balanced, as required.

Suppose on the other hand that D has a solution D' of negative weight. Construct a solution to MCPP on G by assigning each gadget the active assignment if the corresponding arc/double-arc appears in D', and the passive assignment otherwise. As D has negative weight, it must use u^*v^* and so $\textsc{Gadget}(u^*, v^*)$ gets the active solution. It follows that the cost of this solution is $W - 1$. It is clear that every arc and edge is traversed at least once. As before, the imbalance of each vertex in this solution is equal to its imbalance in D'. Therefore this solution is balanced, and so corresponds to a closed walk, as required.

We now show that G has pathwidth bounded by a function of $\text{pw}(D)$, the pathwidth of D.

Observe that for each gadget $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ in our construction of G, $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ can be turned into a disjoint union of paths by the removal of at most 4 vertices, and therefore $\textsc{Gadget}(u, v)$ has pathwidth at most 5. Furthermore, G can be derived from D by replacing each arc or double arc with a corresponding gadgets. It follows from Lemma 8 that G has pathwidth at most $\text{pw}(D) + 5$.

Let m be the number of arcs in D. Then G is derived from D by introducing at most m gadgets, and each gadget has at most $5M \leq 5m + 15$ arcs. Therefore G can be constructed in $O(m^2)$ time.

We now have that, given an instance (D, w, X, Y) of PBS of the type specified in Theorem 2, we can in polynomial time create an instance G of MCPP with pathwidth bounded by $\max(\text{pw}(D), 4)$. We therefore have a parameterized reduction from this restriction of PBS, parameterized by pathwidth, to MCPP parameterized by pathwidth. As this restriction of PBS is W[1]-hard by Theorem 2, this completes the proof. □

C Omitted proofs from Section 5

Lemma 4. *Given an instance (G, w) of* MCPP *with m arcs and edges, we can, in polynomial time, find closed walk of of G that traverses each edge and arc at*

least once, if such a walk exists, and this walk traverses each arc at most $m+1$ times.

Proof. For each arc or edge a in G, we will construct a closed walk $\text{W}alk_a$ that contains a. If a is an edge, we may let $\text{W}alk_a$ be a walk that traverses a once in each direction. Then this is a closed walk that traverses a twice and traverses no other arcs or edges. If a is an arc, let u be the start vertex and v the end vertex of a. In polynomial time, find a simple walk from v to u. (If no such walk exists, then (G, w) has no solution). Adding $a = uv$ to this walk, we have a closed walk that traverse a once and each other edge or arc at most once. Taking the union of walks $\text{W}alk_a$ for every edge and arc a, we get a walk that traverses each arc or edge a at most $m+1$ times (at most twice by $\text{W}alk_a$, and at most once for all other walks). □

Lemma 5. *Let uv be an edge or arc in G, and let B and W be arbitrary integers such that $w(H_{uv}) + W \leq M$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a graph H'_{uv} with vertex set $\{u, v\}$ that covers G_{uv}, such that $w(H'_{uv}) = w(H_{uv}) + W$ and $b_{H'_{uv}}(u) = b_{H_{uv}}(u) + B$;*
2. *D_{uv} has a subgraph D'_{uv} which respects double arcs and forbidden pairs, such that $w(D'_{uv}) = W$ and $b_{D'_{uv}}(u) = B$.*

Proof. We consider each of the cases separately.

If G_{uv} is an arc from u to v and H_{uv} traverses uv $t \leq M$ times: Then recall D_{uv} has $t-1$ arcs from v to u of weight -1, and $M-t$ arcs from u to v of weight 1. Observe that $t = w(H_{uv})$.

(1) $\rightarrow$ (2): Observe that $B = W$. As H'_{uv} covers G_{uv}, we have $1 \leq w(H_{uv}) + W \leq M$, and therefore $1 - t \leq W \leq M - t$. If $W = 0$, let D'_{uv} have no arcs. If $W > 0$, let D'_{uv} have W positive weight arcs from u to v. If $W < 0$, let D'_{uv} have $|W|$ negative weight arcs from v to u. Observe that in each case D'_{uv} is a subgraph of D_{uv} and satisfies condition 2.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1): Observe that by construction of D_{uv}, $W = B$, and also observe that $1 - t \leq W$. If $W = 0$, then let $H'_{uv} = H_{uv}$ and observe that H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1. If $W > 0$, let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with the addition of W extra arcs from u to v. Then $w(H'_{uv}) = w(H_{uv}) + W$, and H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1. If $W < 0$, let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with $|W|$ arcs from u to v removed. As $|W| < t$, H'_{uv} still has at least one arc from u to v and so covers G_{uv}, and condition 1 is satisfied.

If G_{uv} is an edge between u and v, and H_{uv} traverses uv $t \leq M$ times from u to v, and from v to u 0 times: Then recall that D_{uv} has a double arc (a, a'), where a and a' are both arcs from v to u of weight 0. In addition, D_{uv} has $t-1$ arcs from v to u of weight -1, $M-t$ arcs from u to v of weight 1, and $M-1$ arcs from v to u of weight 1.

(1) $\rightarrow$ (2): Let t' be the number of arcs from u to v in H'_{uv}, and s' the number of arcs from v to u in H'_{uv}. Observe that $W = s' + t' - t$ and $B = t' - t - s'$.

If $s' = 0$, then $t' > 0$ and we have $W = t' - t = B$. If $W = 0$, then let D'_{uv} have no arcs. If $W > 0$, then note that $W \leq M - t$ and let D'_{uv} have W positive

weight arcs from u to v. If $W < 0$, then note that $|W| \leq t-1$ (as otherwise H'_{uv} does not cover uv) and let D'_{uv} have $|W|$ negative weight arcs from v to u. Observe that in each case D'_{uv} satisfies condition 2.

If $t' = 0$, then $s' > 0$ and we have $W = s' - t$ and $B = -t - s'$. Then let D'_{uv} have all $t-1$ negative weight arcs from v to u, both arcs in the double arc from v to u, and $s'-1$ positive weight arcs from v to u. Observe that D'_{uv} has weight $s' - 1 - (t-1) = W$ and $b_{D'_{uv}}(u) = -t - s' = B$, and D'_{uv} respects double arcs, and so D'_{uv} satisfies condition 2.

If $t' > 0$ and $s' > 0$, then if $t' + s' > 2$ we may remove a pair of arcs (uv, vu) from H' and get a better solution to MCPP. Therefore we may assume $t' = s' = 1$ and so $W = 2 - t$ and $B = -t$. If $t \geq 2$ let D'_{uv} contain $t-2$ negative weight arcs from v to u, and both arcs of the double arc from v to u. Otherwise $t = 1$. In this case, let D'_{uv} contain both arcs of the double arc from v to u, and one positive weight arc from u to v. In either case, D'_{uv} has weight $-(t-2) = W$ and $b_{D'_{uv}}(u) = -t = B$, and D'_{uv} respects double arcs, and so D'_{uv} satisfies condition 2.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1): Let s' be the number of positive weight arcs from u to v in D'_{uv}, t' the number of negative weight arcs from v to u in D'_{uv}, and r' the number of positive weight arcs from v to u in D'_{uv}. Then $W = s' - t' + r'$.

Suppose first that D'_{uv} does not contain the double arc. Then $B = s' - t' - r'$. If $s' - t' = 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with r' arcs from v to u added. If $s' - t' > 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with $s' - t'$ arcs from u to v added and r' arcs from v to u added. If $s' - t' < 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with $t' - s'$ arcs from u to v removed and r' arcs from v to u added (note that as $t' < t$, removing $t' - s'$ arcs from u to v still leaves uv covered). Observe that in each case, H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1.

Now suppose that D'_{uv} contains the double arc. Then $B = s' - t' - r' - 2$. If $s' - t' = 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with one arc from u to v removed and $r' + 1$ arcs from v to u added. If $s' - t' > 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with $s' - t' - 1$ arcs from u to v added and $r' + 1$ arcs from v to u added. It $s' - t' < 0$, then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with $t' - s' + 1$ arcs from u to v removed and $r' + 1$ arcs from v to u added. Observe that in each case, H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1.

If G_{uv} is an edge between u and v and H_{uv} traverses uv $t > 0$ times from u to v, and $s > 0$ times from v to u: Then recall that we may assume $s = t = 1$, and D_{uv} has a forbidden pair (a, a'), where a is an arc from u to v, a' is an arc from v to u, and both a and a' have weight -1. In addition, D_{uv} has $M - 1$ arcs from u to v of weight 1, and $M - 1$ arcs from v to u of weight 1.

(1) $\rightarrow$ (2): If $H'_{uv} = H_{uv}$, then let D'_{uv} have no arcs. Otherwise, we may assume all arcs in H'_{uv} are in the same direction (as otherwise we may remove a pair of arcs $\{uv, vu\}$ to get a better solution). So assume that all arcs in H'_{uv} are from u to v (the other case is symmetric).

Let $t' > 0$ be the number of arcs from u to v in H'_{uv}. Then $W = t' - 2$ and $B = t'$. Then let D'_{uv} contain the arc uv from the forbidden pair, together with $t' - 1$ positive weight arcs from u to v. Observe that D'_{uv} satisfies condition 2.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1): Let t' be the number of positive weight arcs from u to v in D'_{uv}, and let s' be the number of positive weight arcs from v to u in D'_{uv}.

Suppose first that D'_{uv} doesn't contain either arc from the forbidden pair. Then $W = t' + s'$ and $B = t' - s'$. Then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with t' arcs added from u to v and s' arcs added from v to u. Observe that H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1.

So now suppose that D' contains one arc from the forbidden pair; assume D' contains the arc uv (the other case is symmetric). Then $W = t' + s' - 1$ and $B = t' - s' + 1$. Then let H'_{uv} be H_{uv} with t' arcs added from u to v, s' arcs added from v to u and one arc from v to u removed (note that even if $t' = s' = 0$, removing the arc from v to u still leaves an arc from u to v covering uv). Observe that H'_{uv} satisfies condition 1. □

Lemma 6. *Let D be the directed multigraph derived from G and H by taking the vertex set $V(G)$ and adding the gadget D_{uv} for every arc and edge uv in G. Then there exists a solution H' with weight less than H if and only if D has a properly balanced subgraph of weight less than 0.*

Proof. Suppose first that H' is a solution with weight less than $w(H)$, and let H'_{uv} be the subgraph of H' induced by $\{u, v\}$, for every edge or arc uv in G. For each edge and arc uv, let D'_{uv} be the subgraph of D_{uv} corresponding to H'_{uv} in the claim (which exists as $w(H'_{uv}) \geq 1$ for each uv, which in turn implies $w(H'_{uv}) < M$ for each uv). Let D' be the union of all such D'_{uv}. As each D'_{uv} respects double arcs and forbidden pairs, so does D'. By Lemma 5, the weight of D' is $\sum_{uv} w(D'_{uv}) = \sum_{uv}(w(H'_{uv}) - w(H_{uv})) = w(H') - w(H) < 0$ (where the sums are taken over all edges and arcs uv). Finally, for each vertex u, the imbalance of D' at u is $\sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{D'_a}(u) = \sum_{a \in A(u)}(b_{H'_a}(u) - b_{H_a}(u)) = \sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{H'_a}(u) - \sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{H_a}(u) = 0 - 0 = 0$ (where $A(u)$ is the set of all edges or arcs containing u). Thus, D' is balanced. It follows that D' is a properly balanced subgraph of D of negative weight, as required.

Conversely, suppose that D' is a properly balanced subgraph of D with weight less than 0, and let D'_{uv} be the subgraph of D' induced by $\{u, v\}$, for every edge or arc uv in G. For each edge and arc uv, let H'_{uv} be the graph corresponding to D'_{uv} in the claim. (To see that this exists, observe that for arc or edge a such that $w(D'_a) < 0$ it must be the case that $w(H_a) + w(D'_a) \leq M$, and so H'_a exists, which implies that $w(H_a) + w(D'_a) > 0$. Thus $w(H_a) + w(D'_a > 0$ for all a, and as $\sum_a (w(H_a) + w(D'_a)) = w(H) + w(D') < M$, this implies that $w(H_a) + w(D'_a) < M$ for all a.) Let H' be the union of all such H'_{uv}. As each H'_{uv} covers uv, H' covers all edges and arcs of G. By Lemma 5, the weight of H' is $\sum_{uv} w(H'_{uv}) = \sum_{uv}(w(H_{uv}) + w(D'_{uv})) = w(H) + w(D') < w(H)$ (where the sums are taken over all edges and arcs uv). Finally, for each vertex u, the imbalance of H' at u is $\sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{H'_a(u)} = \sum_{a \in A(u)}(b_{H_a}(u) + b_{D'_a}(u)) = \sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{H_a}(u) + \sum_{a \in A(u)} b_{D'_a}(u) = 0 + 0 = 0$ (where $A(u)$ is the set of all edges or arcs containing u). Thus, H' is balanced. It follows that H' is a solution with weight less than $w(H)$, as required. □